## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/036,408 SAITO ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Gims S. Philippe 2613 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Gims S. Philippe. (2) Max Moskowitz. Date of Interview: 03 October 2005. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 31. Identification of prior art discussed: . . Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During the interview, the applicant's representative authorized the examiner to cancel claim 31which belongs to application no. 10/047,025. This was noted by the applicant in the reply to the first non-final action. . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. PRIMARY EXAMINE Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required